

**NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION**

**File Name: 05a0122n.06**

**Filed: February 15, 2005**

**No. 03-4435**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

KALIL A. ALKASAB,

**Plaintiff-Appellant,**

v.

CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY,

**Defendant-Appellee.**

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**ON APPEAL FROM THE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
OHIO**

**MEMORANDUM  
OPINION**

**BEFORE: NORRIS, GIBBONS, Circuit Judges; TODD, District Judge.\***

**PER CURIAM.** Plaintiff, Kalil A. Alkasab, appeals from the district court's grant of summary judgment to defendant, Cleveland State University, in this employment discrimination case.

Having had the benefit of oral argument and having carefully considered the record on appeal, the briefs of the parties, and the applicable law, we are not persuaded that the district court erred in granting summary judgment to defendant.

Because the reasoning which supports judgment for defendant has been articulated by the district court, the issuance of a detailed written opinion by this court would serve no useful purpose.

---

\*The Honorable James D. Todd, Chief United States District Court Judge for the Western District of Tennessee, sitting by designation.

*No. 03-4435*

*Alkasav v. Cleveland State University*

Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is **affirmed** upon the reasoning employed by that court in its Order and Decision dated September 26, 2003.