

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

File Name: 05a0253n.06

Filed: April 4, 2005

No. 04-1638

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

METODIJA DIMOVSKI, VALENTINA)
DIMOVSKA, and STEFAN DIMOVSKI,)

Petitioners-Appellants,)

v.)

TOM RIDGE, SECRETARY OF HOMELAND)
SECURITY and JOHN A. MATA, FIELD)
DIRECTOR, BUREAU OF IMMIGRATION AND)
CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT, MIAMI, FLORIDA,)

Respondents-Appellees.

**ON APPEAL FROM THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE EASTERN
DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

**MEMORANDUM
OPINION**

BEFORE: NORRIS and BATCHELDER, Circuit Judges; and MILLS, District Judge.*

PER CURIAM. Petitioners appeal from an Order of the district court dismissing their petition for a writ of habeas corpus and dissolving a temporary stay of removal.

Having carefully considered the record on appeal, the briefs of the parties and the applicable law, we are not persuaded that the district court erred in dismissing the petition and dissolving the stay of removal.

Because the reasoning which supports the Order has been articulated by the district court, the issuance of a detailed written opinion by this court would be duplicative and serve no useful purpose. Accordingly, the Order of the district court is affirmed upon the reasoning employed by

*The Honorable Richard Mills, United States District Court Judge for the Central District of Illinois, sitting by designation.

No. 04-1638

Dimovski v. Sec. Homeland Security

that court in its Opinion and Order, dated April 13, 2004. We note that the district court's reasoning is buttressed by an opinion issued by the Supreme Court after the district court's opinion was filed, *Rumsfeld v. Padilla*, 124 S.Ct. 2711 (2004).