

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

File Name: 05a0262n.06

Filed: April 6, 2005

No. 04-5319

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

F. CHRIS CAWOOD,)

Plaintiff-Appellant,)

v.)

LINDA BOOTH; JON FRENCH; RANDY)
SCARBROUGH; and DENNIS WORLEY,)

Defendants-Appellees.)
)
)
)

**ON APPEAL FROM THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE EASTERN
DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE**

**MEMORANDUM
OPINION**

BEFORE: NORRIS and BATCHELDER, Circuit Judges; and Mills, District Judge. *

PER CURIAM. F. Chris Cawood appeals the district court's decision to grant summary judgment to defendants, in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

Having carefully considered the record on appeal, the briefs of the parties, and the applicable law, we are not persuaded that the district court erred in granting summary judgment to defendants.

Because the reasoning which supports judgment for defendants has been articulated by the district court, the issuance of a detailed written opinion by this court would be duplicative and serve no useful purpose. Accordingly, the judgment of the district court is affirmed upon the reasoning employed by that court in its opinion, *Cawood v. Haggard*, 327 F. Supp.2d 863 (E.D. Tenn, 2004).

*The Honorable Richard Mills, United States District Court Judge for the Central District of Illinois, sitting by designation.

No. 04-5319
Caewood v. Haggard