

No. 05-3137

Thornesberry v. Aetna, et al

judgment. Thornesberry moved for judgment on the administrative record. The district court granted Aetna's motions and Duke's motion for summary judgment, and denied Thornesberry's motion. Thornesberry only appeals the district court's grant of Aetna's motions and its denial of her motion.

After a careful review of the record, applicable law, and the parties' briefs, we conclude that the district court did not err in granting Aetna's motions and denying Thornesberry's motion. The record demonstrates that Aetna did not act arbitrarily and capriciously in denying benefits to Thornesberry. As the district court accurately described the evidence and applied the correct legal principles to the facts of this case, no useful purpose would be served by issuing a full opinion. We thus **AFFIRM** the district court's judgments in favor of Aetna and against Thornesberry on the basis of its well-reasoned opinion.