

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

File Name: 08a0501n.06

Filed: August 18, 2008

No. 07-1715

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

JACK SUNSERI; CONSOLIDATED)
PARTNERS, LIMITED,)

Plaintiffs-Appellants,)

v.)

PHYLLIS PROCTOR; CONRAD PROCTOR;)
DAVID PROCTOR; CONRAD A.)
PROCTOR FAMILY TRUST; PHYLLIS)
PROCTOR FAMILY TRUST; ANDERSON-)
PROCTOR TRUST; PHYLLIS D. PROCTOR)
TRUST; ANDERSON-PROCTOR FAMILY)
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP; PROCTOR, LLC;)
ANDERSON-PROCTOR, LLC,)

Defendants-Appellees.)

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED
STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Before: DAUGHTREY, COOK, and FARRIS,* Circuit Judges.

COOK, Circuit Judge. In order to enforce a prior judgment against general partnership Macro Cellular Partners (“Macro”), plaintiffs Jack Sunseri and Consolidated Partners, Ltd. (collectively, “Sunseri”), seek to reach the individual assets of defendant partners (collectively, the “Proctors”) unnamed in the original suit. The district court granted summary judgment for the

*The Honorable Jerome Farris, Circuit Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, sitting by designation.

No. 07-1715

Sunseri, et al. v. Proctor, et al.

Proctors, concluding that Sunseri's claims against the Proctors accrued with the claims against the partnership and the relevant statutes of limitations now barred the action. Review of the briefs and record counsels us to agree with the district court. Because a separate opinion would add little to the district court's analysis, we affirm the court's judgment, adopting its reasoning.