

NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FULL-TEXT PUBLICATION

File Name: 11a0744n.06

Case No. 09-1532

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

FILED
Nov 01, 2011
LEONARD GREEN, Clerk

EDWARD JAMES CROMER,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

MELINDA K. BRAMAN, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

**ON APPEAL FROM THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE WESTERN
DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

BEFORE: BATCHELDER Chief Judge; COLE and GIBBONS, Circuit Judges.

ALICE M. BATCHELDER, Chief Judge. Edward Cromer, a pro se plaintiff imprisoned at the Alger Maximum Correctional Facility in Michigan, brought claims against various prison officials for violations of numerous constitutional rights and retaliation for the exercise of his First Amendment rights. In an order dated March 31, 2008, the district court dismissed several of Cromer’s claims. In an opinion dated March 25, 2009, the district court adopted the report and recommendation of the magistrate judge, denying Cromer’s motion for summary judgment and granting Appellees’ motion for summary judgment on the remaining claims. Cromer appealed.

After carefully reviewing the district court’s opinion, the magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, Cromer’s brief,¹ and the extensive record in this case, we conclude that the district

¹The Defendants-Appellees elected not to file a brief, relying instead on their filings in the court below and the opinion of the district court.

court did not err in denying summary judgment to Cromer and granting summary judgment to the defendants. As the district court correctly set out the applicable law and correctly applied that law to the facts contained in the record, issuance of a full written opinion by this court would serve no useful purpose. Accordingly, for the reasons stated in the district court's opinion, we **AFFIRM**.