

No. 10-2059
Melton v. Stovall

On appeal, petitioner reiterates her arguments that the prosecution's case against her was devoid of physical evidence tying her to the alleged home invasion and theft of firearms. She maintains that the state's circumstantial evidence was sufficient only to create suspicion that she was the perpetrator and could not support the jury's finding that she was guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

Petitioner has not raised any argument that is not completely and properly addressed in the district court's opinion. The district court correctly recognized that federal court review of the state courts' rulings is limited by the constraints imposed by the Anti-Terrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2254(d). The district court also recognized that assessment of the sufficiency of the evidence must be undertaken in the light most favorable to the prosecution, and that the jury's verdict must be upheld unless no reasonable juror could have found the essential elements of the charged offenses established beyond a reasonable doubt. Having duly considered the district court's opinion in light of petitioner's appellate arguments, we find no error. Accordingly, finding that a separate opinion would be duplicative and unnecessary, we hereby **AFFIRM** the district court's order denying the petition for writ of habeas corpus on the reasoning of its opinion.