

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

MICHIGAN-OHIO-KENTUCKY-TENNESSEE

In re:
Complaint of Judicial Misconduct

*
*
*No. 06-17-90059
*
*
*
*

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This complaint of judicial misconduct was filed by [REDACTED] (“complainant”) against the Honorable [REDACTED] (“subject judge”), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351. The complainant is a frequent litigant who has had at least seven separate civil cases dismissed and has been restricted from further filing in the district court. The subject judge was assigned to most of those cases. The complainant charges various attorneys, court employees, government agencies, her local public library, the Catholic Church and one of its prelates, and unnamed individuals with lying, corruption, and other misdeeds and malefactions but makes no specific allegations against the named judge. Under the most liberal construction possible, she charges the subject judge with general corruption and non-specified violation of her due-process rights, as she does everyone else named in the complaint.

After conducting an initial review, the chief judge may dismiss a complaint as to which he concludes: (A) that the claimed conduct, even if it occurred, “is not prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts and does not indicate a mental or physical disability resulting in inability to discharge the duties of judicial office”; (B) that the complaint “is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling”; (C) that the complaint is “frivolous,” a term that applies to charges that are wholly unsupported; or (D) that the complaint “lack[s] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” Rule 11(c)(1)(A)-(D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

Because the complainant makes no fact-specific allegations against the subject judge, her complaint of judicial misconduct must be dismissed as lacking any factual foundation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(B) and Rule 11(c)(1)(G) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

For these reasons, it is **ORDERED** that the complaint be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(B) and Rule 11(c)(1)(G) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

/s/ R. Guy Cole, Jr.
Chief Judge

Date: October 24, 2017