

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT
MICHIGAN-OHIO-KENTUCKY-TENNESSEE

In re:
Complaint of Judicial Misconduct

*
*
*No. 06-18-90028
*
*
*
*

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This complaint of judicial misconduct was filed by [REDACTED] (“complainant”) against the Honorable [REDACTED] (“subject judge”), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351. The complaint alleges that the subject judge “may have health issues and is not acting as a neutral impartial judge.” The basis for these allegations is the subject judge’s order granting a motion to dismiss a civil action that was filed by the complainant. According to the complainant, the subject judge’s order fails to address all of the claims that were raised in the civil action, contains factual errors, and reflects bias.

After conducting an initial review, the chief judge may dismiss a misconduct complaint as to which he concludes: (A) that the claimed conduct, even if it occurred, “is not prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts”; (B) that the complaint “is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling”; (C) that the complaint is “frivolous,” a term that applies to charges that are wholly unsupported; or (D) that the complaint “lack[s] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” Rule 11(c)(1)(A)-(D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings; see 28 U.S.C. § 352(a), (b).

An initial review of the record reveals that the complainant filed a civil action that was assigned to the subject judge. The defendants moved to dismiss the action, and the subject judge granted the motion.

To the extent that it alleges errors and omissions in the subject judge’s order dismissing the civil action, this complaint of judicial misconduct is subject to dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(B) as directly related to the merits of that order. See *also* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii). Any challenge to the merits of a judge’s ruling is outside the scope of judicial-misconduct proceedings. See Rule 3(h)(3)(A), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. The Judicial Council is not a court and has no jurisdiction to review any decision by a judge. See *In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct*, 858 F.2d 331, 331-32 (6th Cir. 1988).

To the extent that it alleges bias and potential disability, the complaint is subject to dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(C) because the allegations are entirely unsupported by the record. See *also* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the complaint be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) & (iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) & (C) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

/s/ R. Guy Cole, Jr.
Chief Judge

Date: November 5, 2018