

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT
MICHIGAN-OHIO-KENTUCKY-TENNESSEE

In re:
Complaint of Judicial Misconduct

*
*
*No. 06-19-90052
*
*
*
*

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This complaint of judicial misconduct was filed by **[REDACTED]** (“complainant”) against the Honorable **[REDACTED]** (“subject judge”), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351. The complaint alleges that the subject judge improperly failed to recuse himself, violated the complainant’s rights at trial, and improperly ordered a competency evaluation.

After conducting an initial review, the chief judge may dismiss a misconduct complaint as to which he concludes: (A) that the claimed conduct, even if it occurred, “is not prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts”; (B) that the complaint “is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling”; (C) that the complaint is “frivolous,” a term that applies to charges that are wholly unsupported; or (D) that the complaint “lack[s] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred.” Rule 11(c)(1)(A)-(D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings; see 28 U.S.C. § 352(a), (b).

An initial review of the record reveals that the subject judge presided over the complainant’s criminal proceeding (in which the complainant pleaded guilty) and various post-conviction motions in the ensuing years. Most recently, after the complainant was arrested and detained for alleged violations of the conditions of his supervised release, the subject judge denied the complainant’s motion for recusal and ordered a psychological evaluation of the complainant.

This misconduct complaint alleges that the subject judge erred in his rulings. It is therefore subject to dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(B). See also 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii). Any challenge to the merits of a judge’s rulings is outside the scope of judicial-misconduct proceedings. See Rule 4(b)(1), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. The Judicial Council is not a court and has no jurisdiction to review any decision by a judge. See *In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct*, 858 F.2d 331, 331-32 (6th Cir. 1988).

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the complaint be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

/s/ R. Guy Cole, Jr.
Chief Judge

Date: April 9, 2020