JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT
MICHIGAN-OHIO-KENTUCKY-TENNESSEE

	*
In re:	*
Complaint of Judicial Misconduct	*No. 06-19-90068
	*
	*
	*
	*

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This complaint of judicial misconduct was filed by **[REDACTED]** ("complainant") against the Honorable **[REDACTED]** ("subject judge"), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 351. The complaint consists of disjointed and largely incoherent allegations of a variety of misdeeds, some of which apparently (and allegedly) involved defendants in a civil action that the complainant filed.

After conducting an initial review, the chief judge may dismiss a misconduct complaint as to which he concludes: (A) that the claimed conduct, even if it occurred, "is not prejudicial to the effective and expeditious administration of the business of the courts"; (B) that the complaint "is directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling"; (C) that the complaint is "frivolous," a term that applies to charges that are wholly unsupported; or (D) that the complaint "lack[s] sufficient evidence to raise an inference that misconduct has occurred." Rule 11(c)(1)(A)-(D), Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings; *see* 28 U.S.C. § 352(a), (b).

This misconduct complaint refers to a civil action that was assigned to the subject judge, but it does not set forth any allegations against the judge. The complaint is subject to dismissal under Rule 11(c)(1)(C), because no cognizable allegations of misconduct can be discerned from the complaint or supported by the record. *See also* 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the complaint be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(iii) and Rule 11(c)(1)(C) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings.

/s/ *R. Guy Cole, Jr.*
Chief Judge

Date: August 19, 2020